Case Study-Cell Transport Rubric:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Advanced**  **(3)** | **Partially Proficient**  **(2)** | **Not Proficient**  **(1)** |
| **Hypothesis** | Hypothesis is well-written and clearly identified. | Hypothesis is present, but it lacks focus. | Hypothesis is not obvious, and barely provides a focus. |
| **Evidence to Support the Hypothesis** | The response thoroughly and accurately discusses multiple pieces of evidence and clearly connects these pieces of evidence to the hypothesis. | The response lacks thoroughness or the evidence is not clearly connected to the hypothesis. | The response lacks accuracy or there is little to no evidence discussed. |
| **Alternate Evidence** | The response thoroughly and accurately discusses multiple pieces of evidence to rule out the other type of diarrhea. | The response lacks thoroughness or the evidence is not clearly connected to ruling out the other type of diarrhea. | The response lacks accuracy or there is little to no evidence discussed |

Total = \_\_\_\_\_/9